





EMPOWERING PATIENTS WITH COPD TO ACCESS HIGH QUALITY CARE: THE IMPACT OF PERSONALISED SCORECARDS

Authors: **Gulsen Gungor** Rob Meaker **Kirsty Barnes** James Mountford Robyn Hudson **Professor Mike Roberts**

The Challenge

A high proportion of COPD patients are not receiving optimal care. For example, in our pilot Redbridge Practices on average only 18% of COPD patients have had their diagnosis validated with spirometry according to NICE 2010 guidance.

Patients themselves are unaware of the quality of their care, and the variation of quality between Practices. At one of our Practices, 100% of COPD patients had received spirometry, at another, 1% of COPD patients.

Patients often bypass Primary Care services and go to A&E due to a lack of self- management advice and empowerment. We know that typically COPD patients experience their symptoms for on average 7 days before an emergency attendance at A&E.

COPD is associated with high NHS cost due to the frequency of emergency admissions, across the UK accounting for 1 million bed days and £491 million per year. In our 10 pilot Practices there were 154 COPD admissions last year, on average 29% of the COPD patients on the Practice registers were admitted.

The response:

The patient led methodology



Patients, GPs, Practice Nurses and Practice Managers from 10 GP Practices in NHS Redbridge worked together to co- create a patient scorecard

that outlined patient priorities for best care using the NICE guidelines (2010). Several versions were tested with patient focus groups until the content and style were refined to suit patients' needs. These individualised scorecards help inform and empower patients to seek attention for those evidence based interventions that reduce risk of admission to hospital.

The driving hypothesis for the project was that providing individualised quality information to both GPs and patients would result in increased engagement with the issues around COPD and a set of behaviour changes for both groups

We identified the need to utilise qualitative primary research and a process of prototyping to help shape the design and communication of the checklist

Results:

| | | | Issue Date: 1st January 2012 | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Self Care | Pharmasian. You will be also be get tody at your load pharmany NMC Direct. Tel CROS GRO? Open 26 hours for advisor | | This is you personalised. O'DO chandille. It is part of a scheme being our by the HHG to help you to better understand your CDPD and to know what support there is available in order to better manage your condition. | |
| Further Support | The Long Chile. 34: ISS SHEE SHEE SI Verbroughting State State of Expansis Patient Programmes. 34: ISS SHEET | | | |
| Community Help teams | Long term conditions form, 1st COS 611 1873 Community Polymoney Metabolisation services, 1st COS 601 1873 | | How to use your Checklist The checklist rate probe the same of your deadline and hybrights what are an open and the probe the same and the probe that are an open and the probe that the same and or other than the same and or other traffic little you should contact you gift or Phastice Name Green. | |
| ar . | Year SP Bergary, Nr. CCCCCCCCCCCC SP Out of Hours Services. Nr. CHIS CTCCHT | | | |
| NHS Walk His Cardina | Lasteri Palyeleta, CT Stori GT 2001 No COS 8600 2600 | Curre, Mord, Streen Open Sport Sport 7 days | is not or amount name aget you should contact your car or Practice Nume. Caren lights indicate you are up to date in that area of care. | |
| A+E | Whipe Over Delwardly Meghal. Whips Chin Road Lingtonians Candain. ECH Std. 24th COS 888 8827 Fig. Start Std. 25th COS 888 8827 Fig. Starty Meghal. Adeq Law Deschapes ESE FIS. No. 5586 885 885 0001 Guard V. Maghal. Nov. 1667 (Std. 24th COS 16th Std. 2018) Fig. Start Jour Applied. Nov. 1667 (Std. 24th Std. 2018) 887 0001 Fig. Start Jour Applied. | | If you have any red or amber, you will need to make the according the according dealership. | |
| 000 | | | Green indicates you've up to date in that award care. | |
| o make sure you say things you familied lives. T king part in pul sidy emergency | ultive well with your COPD is can do to prevent COPD flat his will also ensure NFG rea monery whatbillation classes hospital admissions. | and your condition is as well managed as possible, in upulmacerbattons, which can be disruptive to you ources are best used. For example, correct use of your s or esopping smoking and using your rescue pack may | (P) You can contact your OP or one of the other services listed. | or Practice Nurse is very important. You should use this checklist to help rake any questes you may have about your condition. |
| | | | | gery |
| | | | Your local surgery care: Answer your questions about COPO care. Help you nontroy your COPO and make sure you get the right medication and appear when you need it. | |
| cost per pulmo | nary minds session #60 | A+E amendance: 497 | | |
| cost of a GP i | | Timergency hospital admission costsup to £2,749 | | |
| al relevants | your name #15 (| ① Caling 999 cors up to: #279 | | |
| your nexts ste | pe? | | Put you in touch with other au | oport services. |
| | es on your checklist. If you nake contact with your GP | You can bring a friend or family member with you. | Make lying with COPD better | for you and those who support you. |
| | Community elep teams. 20- 20- 20- 20- 20- 20- 20- 20- 20- 20 | Comments of the Comments of th | The second of th | with the control of t |

Lessons learnt:

· The quality scorecards proved a very effectual tool with the participating Practices in changing behaviours and attitudes within Practices around best COPD care and creating a more

proactive and positive relationship with their COPD patients

- · Co- design and collaboration between clinicians and patients are necessary for such a programme to work
- The scorecards clearly show variation in Practice quality, and challenges within the system to delivering best COPD care, therefore the scorecards become a great motivator for change and improvement
- The short timescale for this project meant that no meaningful quantitative results were seen in admissions and patient level quality indicators, however the qualitative results were significant
- A new model of patient engagement and education helps to foster more collaborative and sustainable improvements in Primary Care (see

A New Model For **Patient Engagement:**



Practices feedback quotes:

"We have been involved in many service improvement projects over the years, and "SHINE COPD" has been the best by far in implementing something new and challenging"

"This project delivered what it said it would deliver at the start... the scorecards look very professional and eye catching and surely will make a







